

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,777	07/13/2001	James Chen	25886-0057	5768
20985 7590 02/26/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC		EXAMINER CANELLA, KAREN A		
P.O. BOX 1022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055	09/905,777	CHEN, JAMES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen A. Canella	1643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 and 25-28 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13, 14, 26 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,15-21,25,27 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order and the correction is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to by the Examiner is o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
6. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/905,777

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3 and 9 have been amended. Claims 1-21 and 25-28 are pending. Claims 13, 14 and 26, drawn to non-elected species, are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-12, 15-21, 25, 27 and 28 are under consideration. Due to the inadvertent word-processing error listing withdrawn claims s in the rejection under 112, 2nd in the previous Office action, the instant claim listing which fails to list claims 13, 14 and 26 as withdrawn will not be held as non-compliant.. Further, in order to advance prosecution, the species of (e)tumor endothelial antigen, and (g) tumor vessel wall antigen will be rejoined to the elected species (d) tumor surface antigen.

Claims 2, 3, 9-12, 15-21, 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abels et al (WO 97/31582) in view of the abstract of Goetz et al (WO 97/33620), Schultes et al (SPIE, 1994, Vol. 148, pp. 148-157) and Theodore et al (WO 95/15979).

Abels et al teach a photodynamic method for treating highly vascularized tumors and their metastases, such as Kaposi's sarcoma; adenocarcinoma of the colon, esophagus, breast; neurofibroma and malignant melanoma (page 8, line 22 to page 9, line 11) comprising administering indocyanine green (ICG), followed by irradiation with light, either continuous or pulsed, at a substantially lower intensity than used with photothermal therapy (page 10, lines 11-14 and lines 18-20) which fulfills the specific limitation of claim 18. Abels et al teach a light source which is a laser diode (page 15, lines 1-3) which fulfills the specific limitation of claim 3. Abels et al teach fluence rates of less than 10W/cm2, including 5mW/cm2 to 5W/cm2, 10mW/cm2 to 3W/cm2, 25mW/cm2 to 2W/cm2 and 40mW/cm2 to 500mW/cm2, and for deeper tumors, 2W/cm2 to 5W/cm2 (page 8, lines 14-21). Abels et al teach that a typical total light dose is 100j/cm2 but that the dose can vary from 10J/cm2 to 200J/cm2 (page 14, lines 18-20) and that a diode laser can be used anywhere in the range of 770-840nm, but preferably at 805nm or 800nm because 800nm is the wavelength at which absorption of light by body pigments and blood is negligible allowing light penetration to greater depths (page 15, lines 1-10). It is noted

Application/Control Number: 09/905,777

Art Unit: 1643

that 805nm is the absorption maximum of ICG (page 3, lines 4-6) and thus irradiation at 805nm fulfills the limitation of claim 12, requiring a single photon absorption mode. Abel et al rely on the natural accumulation of ICG within the microcirculation of oncological lesions (page 3, lines 11-22). Abels et al teach the administration of ICG in a liposomal preparation (page 9, line 17 to page 9, line 2). Abel et al do not teach the first and second conjugates of claim 2.

Schultes et al teach that administration of an antibody conjugated photosensitizer versus the photosensitizer alone, allows for a reduction in the dose of photosensitizer used and the more selective binding to target cells allows for reduced cutaneous photo toxicity (page 156, lines 24-31).

The abstract of Goetz et al teaches an IGC antibody conjugate for the treatment of tumors.

Theodore et al teach a method of increasing photosensitizing active agent localization at a target cell site within a mammalian recipient, which method comprises: administering to the recipient a first conjugate comprising a targeting moiety and a member of a ligand-anti-ligand binding pair, wherein the first conjugate localizes at a target site; and administering to the recipient a second conjugate comprising a photosensitizing agent and a ligand/anti-ligand binding pair member, wherein the second conjugate binding pair member is complementary to that of the first conjugate, and wherein the photosensitizing agent or the second conjugate is chemically modified to induce rapid renal clearance thereof from the recipient. Theodore et al teach that the photosensitizing agent absorbs light at wavelengths ranging from about 600 to about 800 nm, and the photosensitizing agent is selected from the group consisting of porphyrin derivatives with a strong absorption band between 600 and 700 nm; phthalocyanines chelated with aluminum or zinc; an ether/ester derivative of porphyrin; chlorins; purpurins; and benzoporphyrin derivatives (claims 17-20). Theodore et al teach the delivery of photosensitizing agent to target cells through the pre-targeting approach using ligand or antigen derivatized liposomes (page 102, lines 24-30 and page 113, line 29 to page 114, line 11).

It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the claimed invention was made to use a pre-targeting system for the administration of ICG in a method to kill the highly vascularized tumor cells as taught by Abels et al, and to use liposomes loaded with said photosensitizer wherein said liposomes are attached to a ligand of the pre-targeting system. One

Application/Control Number: 09/905,777

Art Unit: 1643

of skill in the art would have been motivated to do so by the teachings of Theodore et al on the improvements in targeting tumor cells by using pre-targeting system rather than direct targeting, the further specific teaching of Theodore et al on the targeting of antigen-derivatized liposomes which could be used in the pre-targeting system and the suggestion by Abels et al that ICG be administered in a liposomal preparation.

Claims 1-12, 18-21, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abels et al (WO 97/31582) and Schultes et al (SPIE, 1994, Vol. 148, pp. 148-157) in view of Williams (U. 5,576,013, reference "AJ" of the IDS submitted October 8, 2003), Ruoslahti et al (U.S. 6,180,084) and Chen (U.S. 5,445,608, reference "D" of the IDS submitted April 4, 2002).

Claim 4 embodies the method of claim 1 wherein said light is directed through the skin in a direction parallel and lengthwise to the wall of the vascular vessel having the lesion. Claim 5 embodies the method of claim 3 wherein said laser diode is a light emitting strip and wherein said light emitting strip is placed over the skin overlying the lesion. Claim 7 embodies the method of claim 5 wherein said optical fiber diffuses said light when placed over the vessel wall having the lesion. Claim 8 embodies the method of claim 5 wherein said light source is a mar comprising a plurality of said optical fiber.

Abels et al teach a photodynamic method for treating highly vascularized tumors and their metastases, such as Kaposi's sarcoma; adenocarcinoma of the colon, esophagus, breast; neurofibroma and malignant melanoma (page 8, line 22 to page 9, line 11) comprising administering indocyanine green (ICG), followed by irradiation with light, either continuous or pulsed, at a substantially lower intensity than used with photothermal therapy (page 10, lines 11-14 and lines 18-20) which fulfills the specific limitation of claim 18. Abels et al teach a light source which is a laser diode (page 15, lines 1-3) which fulfills the specific limitation of claim 3. Abels et al teach fluence rates of less than 10W/cm2, including 5mW/cm2 to 5W/cm2, 10mW/cm2 to 3W/cm2, 25mW/cm2 to 2W/cm2 and 40mW/cm2 to 500mW/cm2, and for deeper tumors, 2W/cm2 to 5W/cm2 (page 8, lines 14-21). Abels et al teach that a typical total light dose is 100j/cm2 but that the dose can vary from 10J/cm2 to 200J/cm2 (page 14, lines 18-20) and that a diode laser can be used anywhere in the range of 770-840nm, but preferably at 805nm or 800nm because 800nm is the wavelength at which absorption of light by body pigments and

Art Unit: 1643

blood is negligible allowing light penetration to greater depths (page 15, lines 1-10). It is noted that 805nm is the absorption maximum of ICG (page 3, lines 4-6) and thus irradiation at 805nm fulfills the limitation of claim 12, requiring a single photon absorption mode. Abel et al rely on the natural accumulation of ICG within the microcirculation of oncological lesions (page 3, lines 11-22). Abel et al do not teach the conjugation of ICG to a ligand which targets the tumor neovasculature.

Schultes et al teach that administration of the antibody conjugated photosensitizer versus the photosensitizer alone, allows for a reduction in the dose of photosensitizer used and the more selective binding to target cells allows for reduced cutaneous photo toxicity (page 156, lines 24-31).

Ruoslahti et al teach the targeting of cytotoxic agents to angiogenic vasculature of a tumor by means of peptides which bind to the NGR receptor in the tumor neovasculature (column 2, lines 63-67 and column 66, lines 20-23)

Williams et al teach locally applying a photosensitizing agent such as indocyanine green (column 5, line 11) to target tissue consisting essentially of blood carrying vessels supplying an undesired lesion such as a neoplastic or neovascular lesion (column 3, lines 46-52 and claims 1 and 7) which is consistent with the targeted localization of photosensitizing agents by means of specific ligands which bind to the tumor vasculature. Williams et al teach that targeting the blood supply of a lesion is more effective than targeting the lesion itself (column 3, lines 46-59) and therefore requires less energy (column 5, lines 19-21). Williams et al teach that indocyanine green is effective to coagulate blood in the vessels of the target tissues (column 5, lines 6-8 and 11).

Chen disclose an apparatus for photodynamic therapy comprising a probe having one or a plurality of laser diodes, light emitting diodes, electroluminescent light source; incandescent light sources; cold cathode fluorescent light sources; organic polymer light sources; or inorganic light sources, wherein the light source is adapted for implantation in a treatment area within a patient, wherein said probe is flexible allowing for transplantation within á treatment site in a patient. Chen discloses probes comprising optical fiber bundles (Figures 14 and 15) which are flexible, an optical fiber diffuser (claim 34 c), and a plurality of LEDs (Figure 11) which are suitable to apply PDT to external surfaces of the body (column 24, lines 37-41).

Art Unit: 1643

It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the claimed invention was made to administer ICG conjugated to the peptides of Ruoslahti et al for the selective localization of said ICG to the tumor vasculature and use the probes disclosed by Chen for applying light to a vessel supplying a tumor, either by external application of the light, or by internal application of light via the using the probes of Chen as implants in a method wherein ICG was targeted to the tumor neovasculature by conjugation with molecules which bind. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to do so by the teachings of Williams et al on the efficacy of targeting the blood supply of the tumor lesion rather than the lesion itself. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to use a conjugate of the ICG to the tumor homing peptides in order to concentrate the ICG in the affected area and reduce the dose of ICG and undesirable Cutaneous effects associated with the higher dose of ICG as taught by Schultes. One of skill in the art would have been specifically motivated to use the peptides as taught by Ruoslahti et al in order to localize the ICG in the tumor vasculature making it available to damage the blood supply of the tumor as taught by Williams et al to be more effective and require less energy than the targeting of the tumor itself.

Applicant argues that Ables does not teach the use of conjugates for destroying target lesion in the vascular system. Firstly it is noted that claim 2 does not require that the lesion be in the vascular system because the antibody need only bind to the "target tissue antigen" to fulfill the specific embodiments of claim 2 as "lesion in the arterial vascular system" is recited as an alternate embodiment. Secondly, the supporting references provide ample motivation for the use of both antibody conjugates comprising ICG which bind to the target tissue antigen, or peptide ligands conjugated to ICG which bind to a tumor vasculature antigen. Applicant states that Shultes provide no actual teachings regarding the use of targeting conjugates. this has been considered but not found persuasive:

Schultes et al teach that administration of the antibody conjugated photosensitizer versus the photosensitizer alone, allows for a reduction in the dose of photosensitizer used and the more selective binding to target cells allows for reduced cutaneous photo toxicity (page 156, lines 24-31)

The reduction in dose and commensurate reduction in cutaneous phototoxicity is ample motivation for the use of targeted ICG.

Applicant argues that Theodore only generally teaches the use of a pre-targeting approach for localizing photosensitizing agents. This is not persuasive, because one the instant method claims fail to recite a specific target or use of a specific antibody, therefore, one of skill in the art could readily perceive the application of the teachings of Theodore to the instant methods. Applicant again states that none of the references teaches destroying the cells that comprising lesion in the vascular system. Applicant is again reminded that this is not required for claim 2. further, Williams et al teach the targeting of the tumor vasculature as superior to the targeting of the tumor itself, and Ruoslahti et al teach the peptide ligands which bind to the tumor vasculature and teaches that said ligand can be conjugated to cytotoxic agents.

All claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Canella whose telephone number is (571)272-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571)272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karen A. Canella, Ph.D. 2/19/2007

hown A famille